**Economic Commentary** 

## How large are US related external imbalances?

It is no secret for analysts and investors that US President Donald J. Trump has a strong policy preference to reform the current global trading and financial system. For decades, even before becoming a politician, Trump has been vocal about his negative views on large US current account deficits and the country's net debtor position against the rest of the world, also supporting the re-shoring of manufacturing to his country.

QNB

During his first term as president (2017-2021), however, Trump did not act too aggressively to transform his policy preferences into government action. The US president was then constrained by administrative roadblocks, less political capital and experience, and more reliance on mainstream advisors that held traditional views about trade and finance.

In a more decisive break from the post-war liberal consensus on free trade, President Trump's 2025 agenda seems to embrace his "mercantilist" or "protectionist" vision that casts global commerce as a battleground for national wealth appropriation and accumulation. At the heart of this reorientation is a very particular diagnosis that the country's external imbalances are the result of asymmetric economic relationships, i.e., unreciprocated market access, persistent foreign subsidies, intellectual property theft, and the burdens of underwriting "global public goods," from reserve currency provision to military security.

It is within this framework that the so-called "Liberation Day" of April 2<sup>nd</sup> should be interpreted, partially helping to explain the policy rationale of introducing a minimum baseline tariff of 10% on all US imports and additional "reciprocal" tariffs against selected trade partners, such as China. In addition, this came on top of other sectoral tariffs. While several exemptions have been already announced after the fallout of "Liberation Day" on major US asset classes, US tariffs are regardless at multiples from the norms over the last four generations.

This week, in order to better understand the challenges that Trump's tariff policies are trying to address, we will look into the overall magnitude and size of US external imbalances. In our view, two main factors explain US vulnerabilities and help to explain why some policy groups believe it may be time to take high risks in trying to mitigate them.

US structural current account deficits (quarterly, % of GDP, 1970-2024)



Sources: Haver, US Bureau of Economic Analysis, QNB analysis

First, the US current account balance, which measures the flow of goods, services, income, and current transfers of a country with the rest of the world, presents large structural deficits. In fact, over the last 48 years, the US managed to record surpluses in only three of all those years, and none since 1991. Importantly, after a significant improvement on the current account deficits as a percentage of GDP after the Global Financial Crisis (GFC) and the Shale Revolution from 2007 to 2019, deficits have widened again after the pandemic. Nominal deficits reached USD 1.1 trillion last year and expressed a negative balance across all the main components of the current account except for services (travel, education, financial services). This means that, taken together, US households, corporates and government are consuming more than they produce, requiring external financing. The continuation of this dynamics can increase the US vulnerability to capital flows and to investment decisions from nonresidents.

Second, the multi-decade accumulation of current account deficits has also created a significant imbalance in the net international investment position (NIIP) of the US, i.e., the balance between

## **Economic Commentary**

the assets abroad held by US residents versus assets held by non-residents in the US. In other words, the US is currently a large net debtor to the rest of the world, and particularly to successful manufacturing powers, such as Germany, Japan and China. The picture has also been deteriorating sharply, as the NIIP of the US progressed from a marginal negative figure of around 9% of GDP at the start of the GFC to 88% of GDP by the end of last year. This suggests that the US is by far the country where most global economic imbalances tend to concentrate. Over time, this level of cross-exposure could become uncomfortable to both creditors and debtors. requiring an adjustment.

All in all, there are significant US-related global economic imbalances both in terms of flows (current account deficits) and stocks (cross-asset positions). The more those imbalances grow, higher are the risks of a disorderly adjustment in the future. While Trump's tariff policies seem to have been designed to at least partially address those issues, the ongoing and accumulated imbalances are so large that unilateral or bilateral measures are unlikely to work in terms of delivering a smooth adjustment. Just like with other major responses to large global challanges

**QNB Economics Team:** 

QNB

Luiz Pinto*	<u>Bernabe Lopez Martin</u>
Assistant Vice President -	Senior Manager -
Economics	Economics
+974-4453-4642	+974-4453-4643
* Corresponding author	

in the past, the optimum policy direction for those issues is likely to require a good level of global coordination and cooperation.

**Net international investment position** (selected countries, USD trillion, Q4-2024 or latest available)



Sources: Haver, QNB analysis

Aisha Khalid Al Thani Senior Associate -Economics +974-4453-4647

DISCLAIMER: The information in this publication ("Information") has been prepared by Qatar National Bank (Q.P.S.C.) ("QNB") which term includes its branches and affiliated companies. The Information is believed to be, and has been obtained from, sources deemed to be reliable; however, ONB makes no guarantee, representation or warranty of any kind, express or implied, as to the Information's accuracy, completeness or reliability and shall not be held responsible in any way (including in respect of negligence) for any errors in, or omissions from, the Information. ONB expressly disclaims all warranties or merchantability or fitness for a particular purpose with respect to the Information. Any hyperlinks to third party websites are provided for reader convenience only and QNB does not endorse the content of, is not responsible for, nor does it offer the reader any reliance with respect to the accuracy or security controls of these websites. QNB is not acting as a financial adviser, consultant or fiduciary with respect to the Information and is not providing investment, legal, tax or accounting advice. The Information presented is general in nature: it is not advice, an offer, promotion, solicitation or recommendation in respect of any information or products presented in this publication. This publication is provided solely on the basis that the recipient will make an independent evaluation of the Information at the recipient's sole risk and responsibility. It may not be relied upon to make any investment decision. QNB recommends that the recipient obtains investment, legal, tax or accounting advise from independent professional advisors before making any investment decision. Any opinions expressed in this publication are the opinions of the author as at the date of publication. They do not necessarily reflect the opinions of QNB who reserves the right to amend any Information at any time without notice. QNB, its directors, officers, employees, representatives or agents do not assume any liability for any loss, injury, damages or expenses that may result from or be related in any way to the reliance by any person upon the Information. The publication is distributed on a complementary basis and may not be distributed, modified, published, re-posted, reused, sold, transmitted or reproduced in whole or in part without the permission of QNB. The Information has not, to the best of QNB's knowledge, been reviewed by Qatar Central Bank, the Qatar Financial Markets Authority, nor any governmental, quasi-governmental, regulatory or advisory authority either in or outside Qatar and no approval has been either solicited or received by QNB in respect of the Information.